The Hague Netowrk
Virtual Exchange

Subject:

Participation, Collaboration & Teamwork

Lecturer P.G.Nixon & others

2021 - 2022

articipation, Collaboration and

Contents

| Module Description | p. 2 |
|------------------------------------|------|
| Aims | p. 2 |
| Testing | p. 3 |
| Lecture plan | p. 4 |
| Indicative Literature | p. 4 |
| Marking Rubric Films | p. 5 |
| Marking Rubric Self-evaluation Log | p. 6 |
| Marking Rubric Career Development | p. 7 |

Participation, Collaboration and Teamwork (PCT)

The Hague Network Virtual Exchange

| Study load | |
|-------------------|----|
| credits | 5 |
| Lectures meetings | 10 |

Participation, Collaboration and Teamwork (PCT)

An exploration of the ways in which different forms of participation, collaboration and teamwork impacts upon our lives in differing scenarios and in working and private environments

- This module will examine a wide range of differing forms of partnerships, collaboration and teamwork in both the public and private spheres of human activity. (see page 3 for a breakdown of weekly topics to be covered by the course team).
 This planning will also incorporate space for group collaboration
- It will be team taught by a range of experts and will draw on cases from very different but thematically linked examples
- These practical examples of themes will be supplemented and supported by theoretical knowledge and techniques.
- The diverse but interlinked content will be brought together by means of an
 assessment strategy which will be based on a) a group assignment related to an area
 of collaboration of your choice (to be agreed with the module staff, which will test
 the students' own collaborative skills) and that will be coupled with b) an individual
 element to help them consider and plan towards creating, or adding to, their existing
 personal career development goals.

Aims/learning outcomes

On successful completion of the module the student will have

- a grounding in the basic theories of Participation, Collaboration and Teamwork.
- an understanding of the practical applications of those theoretical underpinnings drawn from examples from different spheres of participatory working cultures and be able to apply them to situations that they face.
- an ability to understand and apply different models of participatory working to a situation and select the most appropriate to fit the scenario that they are faced with at future stages in their development.
- demonstrated clear abilities in group working to accomplish tasks and assignments as required within the module
- show competencies in self-evaluation of their own performances, identifying where improvements are needed and investigating possible remedies.

| Assessment | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Form of Assessment | When? | Description | | |
| 1) Group project (film) 60% | Dec 22 Minimum Grade to pass: 5,5 | Students will perform a group assignment in groups of approx. 4 students, They will produce a film related to an area of collaboration of the students' choice (as agreed with the staff) and will test their collaborative skills and ability to transfer knowledge to the viewer. The film should last for between 7-8 Minutes | | |
| 2) Individual log of group and self evaluation 20% | Jan 5: Minimum Grade to pass: 5,5 | Two smaller individual assignments will allow the student a) to both reflect upon their own activities in the module as an individual but also as a group member and b) also to project forward into how the student may incorporate the knowledge and skills acquired into future career development. | | |
| 3) Individual Key take aways for future career (film) 20% | Jan 5: Minimum Grade to pass: 5,5 | Assignment 2 will be a written assignment. Assignment 3 an individual personal film of 2 minutes showcasing the relevance of their learning experience to their future career goals | | |

| Lecture | Plan |
|---------|------|
|---------|------|

PCT

Schedule (subject to change!)

Schedule

| Oct 6 | Introduction, theoretical | P Nixon/ |
|--------|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| | perspectives | |
| Oct 13 | Cyber Security | P de Vos |
| Oct 20 | HOLIDAY NO LECTURE | |
| Oct 27 | Diplomatic Collaboration | P-J Kleiweg The |
| | | Netherlands Ambassador |
| | | to Belgium |
| Nov 3 | UN Goals (climate change) | E. van Weperen |
| Nov 10 | ??????? | |
| Nov 17 | Team Work in Football | R Rawal |
| Nov 24 | Collaborating in the boardroom | A. Szabo |
| Dec 1 | Entrepreneurship/ co-working | T Spek/Guest |
| | space | |
| Dec 8 | PCT in Education | Michelle Ravenscroft |
| Dec 15 | Lecture free week to work in | P Nixon |
| | groups. Tutors hold consultation | |
| | workshop on request | |
| | | |
| Dec 22 | Video presentation | P Nixon/ T.Spek |

Contact Details P.G.Nixon@hhs.nl

Lectures

The initial opening lecture will be one that explain the working methods of the module, the assessments and the course structure. It will then move on to give an overview of major theoretical insights into the notions of Participation, Collaboration and Teamwork.

Subsequent lectures will examine specific aspects of participation, collaboration and teamwork in differing fields offering students a kaleidoscope of the myriad of methods, strategies and examples of working together that can give them inspiration in their future careers, make them more productive co-workers and help them plan and make better decisions in the future.

Literature

Literature tips (where appropriate) will be given out with the lectures.

For an easy introduction you could read. This is NOT a compulsory purchase

Henry Jenkins, Mizuko Ito, Danah boyd, (2016) *Participatory Culture in a Networked Era. A Conversation on Youth, Learning, Commerce and Politics*, Cambridge: Polity Press, 2016

supplemented by contemporary readings, films, podcasts etc related to each theme

Marking rubric group films (assignment 1)

| | Excellent 8-10 | Satisfactory 6-7 | Needs improvement 4-5 | Insufficient 1-3 | Points awarded |
|--|---|--|--|---|----------------|
| Introduction/idea (15 points) | Exceptional introduction that grabs interest of viewer. Subject/ idea exceptionally clear and well-developed. delivers a definitive statement on the issue. | Proficient introduction that is interesting and states topic. Subject and idea are clearly expressed. | Basic introduction that states topic but lacks interest. Thesis is somewhat clear and arguable. | Weak or no introduction of topic. The purpose of the film is unclear/idea is weak or not clearly explained. | |
| Content knowledge: quality of research (30 points) | Film is exceptionally researched, contains a large range of source material highly relevant to the topic in a logical manner. References are correctly cited at end of film | Film is reasonably well researched, contains a good range of source material mostly relevant to the topic in a logical manner. References are correctly cited at end of film | Information relates to the main topic, but few details and/or examples are given. Shows a limited variety of sources. References are not cited correctly | Film is not well researched Information has weak or no connection to the topic. References are not cited correctly. | |
| Content application: Flow of the argument (20 points) | Exceptionally critical, relevant and consistent connections made between evidence and topic. Excellent analysis. | Consistent connections made between evidence and topic Good analysis. | Some connections made between evidence and topic. Some analysis but could do a lot more. | Limited or no connections made between evidence and topic. Lack of analysis. | |
| Conclusion (15 points) | Excellent summary of topic with concluding ideas that impact viewer. | Good summary of topic with clear concluding ideas. | Only a basic summary of topic with some final concluding ideas. | Lack of summary of topic. | |
| Filming skills (10 points) | Filming flows exceptionally well. Is clear and relevant, polished and (almost) professional. Story is visually clear. Captivates the viewer. Use of props/music etc exceptionally well thought out. | Filming flows reasonably well. Is clear and relevant. Story is mostly visually clear. Engages the viewer. Use of props/music etc reasonably well thought out. | Filming does not always flow reasonably well. Is not always clear and relevant. Story is sometimes not visually clear. Does not engage the viewer. Use of props/music etc could be better thought out. Sound not always clear. | Filming does not flow. Is not clear and relevant. Story is mostly visually unclear. Does not engage the viewer. Use of props/music etc poorly thought out. Sound often unclear. | |
| Communication Skills (10 points) | Sound exceptionally clear Voices are clear, coherent, well-paced and understandable | Sound fairly clear. Voices are mostly clear, coherent, well-paced and understandable | Sound sometimes unclear. Voices are unclear, incoherent, not always well paced and understandable | Sound often unclear. Voices unclear, incoherent, poorly paced and not understandable | |

Marking rubric log/self evaluation (assignment 2)

| | Excellent 8-10 | Satisfactory 6-7 | Needs improvement 4-5 | Insufficient 1-3 | Points awarded |
|---------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| Introduction/thesis | Exceptional introduction that | Proficient introduction that is | Basic introduction that states | Weak or no introduction of | |
| (15 points) | grabs interest of reader and is | interesting and states topic. | topic but lacks interest. | topic. | |
| | exceptionally clear, well- | There is a clear and arguable | There is a somewhat but not | The statement of how the | |
| | developed, and a definitive | statement of how the student | fully clear and arguable | student has engaged with the | |
| | statement of how the student | engaged with the module. | statement of how the student | module is unclear, poorly | |
| | engaged with the module. | | has engaged with the module. | presented or not present . | |
| Level of Self | Exceptional level of self- | Good level of self-reflection | Basic level of self-reflection | Poor or non-existent level of | |
| Evaluation | reflection which fully details | which details most key | which details some key | self-reflection detailing few or | |
| (30 points) | key learning points, | learning points, | learning points, | no key learning points, | |
| | problems/challenges faced | problems/challenges faced | problems/challenges faced | problems/challenges faced, | |
| | and addresses any remedial | and mostly addresses any | and adequately addresses | does not adequately address | |
| | actions necessary in a logical | remedial actions necessary in | some remedial actions | remedial actions necessary in | |
| | manner. | a logical manner. | necessary in a broadly logical | a broadly logical manner. | |
| | | | manner. | | |
| Application: of | Exceptionally critical, relevant | Consistent connections made | Some connections made | Limited or no connections | |
| weekly content to | and consistent connections | between course content and | between course content and | made between course | |
| students own | made between course | the student's development | the student's development. | content and the student's | |
| personal/career | content and the student's | Good analysis. | Some, but limited analysis. | development Lack of analysis. | |
| goals | development. Excellent | | | | |
| (30 points) | analysis. | | | | |
| Conclusion | Excellent summary of how | Good summary of how the | Basic summary of how the | Lack of a clear summary of | |
| (15 points) | the student has benefited | student has benefited from | student has benefited from | how the student has | |
| | from the learning experience | the learning experience in the | the learning experience in the | benefited from the learning | |
| | in the module with | module with concluding ideas | module with concluding ideas | experience in the module and | |
| | concluding ideas that impact | | | concluding ideas | |
| | reader. | | | | |
| Writing skills | Writing is clear and relevant, | Most ideas/thoughts are | Many ideas/thoughts require | Paper does not meet the | |
| (10 points) | with no grammatical and/or | stated clearly and are related | clarification and/or are off- | criteria for the assignment | |
| | spelling errors – polished and | to the topic, with only minor | topic or have marginal | (too short or incomplete, too | |
| | professional. References | grammatical and/or spelling | relevance to the assignment. | long, and/or completely off- | |
| | properly formatted. | errors. References adequate. | Many grammatical and/or | topic). The work is very | |
| | | | spellings errors throughout | challenging to read due to | |
| | | | the work. The work is | inadequate writing flow. | |
| | | | somewhat challenging to read | Improperly referenced | |

| | due to poor writing flow. | |
|--|---------------------------|--|
| | Improperly referenced | |

Marking rubric individual film (assignment 3)

| | Excellent 8-10 | Satisfactory 6-7 | Needs improvement 4-5 | Insufficient 1-3 | Points awarded |
|--|---|--|---|---|-------------------|
| Introduction/idea (15 points) | Exceptional introduction that grabs interest of viewer. Purpose is clearly stated Subject and idea exceptionally clear and well-developed | Proficient introduction that is interesting and states purpose. Subject and idea are clearly expressed. | Basic introduction that states topic but lacks interest. Subject and idea are only partially clearly expressed | Weak or no introduction of topic. The explanation of the purpose of the film is poor or non-existent. Subject and idea are not clearly expressed | |
| Reflective nature related to career development (30 points) | Film is exceptionally well researched, contains exceptionally clear relationship between applying thecourse material to future career goals and the development of the student. | Film is reasonably well researched, contains a good clear relationship between applying the course material to future career goals and the development of the student. | Film is researched to a barely adequate level there are some omissions, contains a mostly clear relationship between applying the course material to future career goals and the development of the student | Film is not well researched there are many omissions, does not contain a clear relationship between applying the course material to future career goals and the development of the student. | |
| Content application: Flow of the argument (20 points) | Exceptionally good critical, relevant and consistent connections made between evidence and future aspirations. Excellent flow to the film | Good critical, relevant and consistent connections made between evidence and future aspirations. Consistent flow to the film | Some connections made between evidence and future aspirations. Lacking a fully consistent flow to the film | Limited or no connections made between evidence and future aspirations. Lacking a fully consistent flow to the film. | |
| Conclusion (15 points) | Excellent summary with concluding ideas that impact the viewer and sells the individual convincingly to prospective employers. | Good summary with concluding ideas impacting the viewer and sells the individual to a reasonable extent to prospective employers | Only a basic summary with few concluding ideas that impact the viewer and do not sell the individual to prospective employers as well as hoped for | Lack of or vague summary with a lack of concluding ideas that impact the viewer and thus do not sell the individual to prospective employers. | |
| Filming skills (10 points) | Filming flows exceptionally well. Is clear and relevant, polished and (almost) professional. Individual's goals exceptionally clear. Captivates the viewer. Use of | Filming flows reasonably well. Is clear and relevant. Individual's goals mostly clear. Engages the viewer. Use of props/music etc reasonably well thought out. | Filming does not always flow reasonably well. Is not always clear and relevant Individual's goals sometimes not clear. Does not fully engage the viewer. Use of props/music etc could be | Filming does not flow. Is not clear and relevant. Story is mostly visually unclear. Individual's goals sometimes not clear. Does not engage the viewer. Use of props/music etc poorly | |

| | props/music etc exceptionally well thought out. | | better. Sound not always clear. | thought out. Sound not always clear | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|---|---|--|
| Communication Skills (10 points) | Sound exceptionally clear Voices clear, coherent, well- paced and understandable | Sound fairly clear. Voices are mostly clear, coherent, well-paced and understandable | Sound often unclear. Voices unclear, incoherent, not always well paced or | Poor sound. Voices unclear, incoherent, poorly paced and not understandable | |
| | | | understandable | | |